2013-14 Professional Degree Supplemental Tuition Proposal

Please note that in the event of the failure of the Governor’s revenue-enhancing initiative in November, increases in mandatory systemwide charges for 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 may be in the double-digits instead of 6%-7.5% as shown below. (UC also anticipates implementing a double-digit mid-year 2012-13 increase in mandatory systemwide charges if the Governor’s initiative does not pass.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Degr. Suppl. Tuition (CA resident)</td>
<td>$6,420</td>
<td>$6,870</td>
<td>$6,613</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>#REF!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Degr. Suppl. Tuition (Nonresident)</td>
<td>$6,420</td>
<td>$6,870</td>
<td>$6,613</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory Systemwide Fees (CA resident)*</td>
<td>$12,192</td>
<td>$12,924</td>
<td>$13,896</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance**</td>
<td>$2,280</td>
<td>$2,462</td>
<td>$2,462</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus-based Fees***</td>
<td>$915</td>
<td>$933</td>
<td>$933</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident Suppl. Tuition</td>
<td>$12,245</td>
<td>$12,245</td>
<td>$12,245</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (explain below)</td>
<td>$34,052</td>
<td>$35,434</td>
<td>$26,141</td>
<td>$35,177</td>
<td>$26,141</td>
<td>$27,185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fees (CA resident)</td>
<td>$21,807</td>
<td>$23,189</td>
<td>$20,509</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fees (Nonresident)</td>
<td>$34,052</td>
<td>$35,434</td>
<td>$26,141</td>
<td>$35,177</td>
<td>$26,141</td>
<td>$27,185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mandatory systemwide charges include Tuition and Student Services Fee.
** Include disability insurance fee for medicine and dentistry.
*** Include Course Materials and Services Fees but not health kits.

Note: if you did not propose PDST levels for 2014-15 and/or 2015-16 in your last long-form template, you are not required to provide them in this template. However, you may do so if you like for planning and consultation purposes.


Given the uncertainty regarding the 2013-14 Mandatory Systemwide fees, UC Davis is not providing estimated PDST levels for years that were not part of the most recent three-year plan. However, we intend to begin development and consultation on the next three-year plans by January 2013.

Consultation

The Regents’ Policy on Professional Degree Supplemental Tuition requires each plan to include information about the views of the program’s student body and faculty on the proposed increase, which may be obtained in a variety of ways. Campuses are expected to have consulted with students and faculty during 2012-13. How did you consult with faculty and students about the PDST levels proposed for 2013-14? Check all that apply.

- □ Scheduled town-hall style meetings with faculty and students in the program to discuss the plan and solicit feedback
- □ Convened focus groups of faculty and students in the program to discuss the plan and solicited feedback
- ☑ Described the plan to faculty and students in the program via email, solicited their feedback, and reviewed the comments received
- □ Other (please describe): Used classroom time for additional comments and feedback of both students and faculty.

Describe the feedback that was received from students and faculty during the opportunities for consultation checked above.
We consulted with our students and key faculty educators. During the meeting, we shared the different fee types, the annual approval process, the current and proposed fees, the actual costs of the degree and future planned improvements.

Students and faculty expressed concern that they are not receiving a degree with a specific professionally identifiable title like MPH or MSN. Many students and faculty are still confused on just how these fees will enhance the education they receive from the Informatics program. Some of the established students feel they should be exempt from these additional fees and that only newly accepted students should be impacted.

The faculty were interested in how these fees would impact their compensation and the ability of the informatics program to gain faculty FTEs. In addition, there was concern that the outcome of propositions on the state ballot in November may impact their fees negatively. The groups appreciated the recent meetings that spoke to the programs goals and long-term focus.

Overall, the feedback was positive with a sense of concern for the continued increases in student fees. Finally, students appreciated the institutional transparency around these fiscal changes and requested continuing communication with both the students and faculty.

In addition to consultation with program students and faculty, please confirm that this short-form template and your most recent long-form template have been shared with the following representatives from your campus before the plan is submitted to UCOP.

☐ Plan shared with GSA Chair Ethan Evans on October 8, 2012. (Campus graduate student organization (i.e., your campus’ GSA president)

☐ Plan shared with Jeff Gibeling on October 8, 2012. (Graduate Dean)

☐ If applicable, plan shared with Informatics Student Representative--Karrin Dunbar on October 1, 2012. (Program graduate student organization (i.e., your program council or department GSA)

☐ Plan shared with Linda P.B. Katehi on October 11, 2012. (Chancellor)

Market Comparisons: Total Charges

In their fall 2010 or summer 2012 long-form templates, each program provided a list of comparison institutions, estimates of comparison institutions’ total charges, and projections of comparison institutions’ total charges. The July 2012 Professional Degree Supplemental Tuition Regents’ item (as presented at the July 2012 Regents’ meeting, and available at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/regmeet/jul12/f6.pdf) provides the average estimated total fees at programs’ public comparison institutions as projected for 2012-13, and are used in the companion tables to this template to calculate public comparison fees projected for 2013-14 – i.e., increased by 5% over 2012-13 levels. Please choose your program’s average public comparison fee figure from the file and insert it below. This assumes that your program’s public comparators have not changed since your program’s last submission; if they have changed, or if you believe a different average public comparison fee figure should be used, please contact Elisabeth Willoughby at OP (elisabeth.willoughby@ucop.edu) as soon as possible.

Projected 2013-14 total resident fees, public comparison institution average: $11,451